



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 4450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/694,509

10/27/2003

Eric A. Benson

AMAZON.015C1

2671

20995

7590

06/16/2006

KNOBBE MARTENS OLSON & BEAR LLP
2040 MAIN STREET
FOURTEENTH FLOOR
IRVINE, CA 92614

EXAMINER

MORRISON, JAY A

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2168

DATE MAILED: 06/16/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/694,509	BENSON, ERIC A.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jay A. Morrison	2168	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Jay A. Morrison, Examiner of Record. (3) _____
 (2) Ronald J. Schoenbaum (Reg Num: 38,297). (4) _____

Date of Interview: 31 May 2006.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
 c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____

Claim(s) discussed: 1,18,28,39.

Identification of prior art discussed: Durham (Patent Number 6,330,566).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: see attachment.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.



Examiner's signature, if required

Applicant summarized application and discussed how he thought the Durham reference did not teach the claimed invention. Specifically, applicant noted his thoughts on why Durham did not teach schema data, schema data specifying past and present schemas, temporary data structures, nor determining validity of data structures. These limitation were noted as being repeated in other specified independent claims. Examiner noted this and stated his intention to pay particular attention to those specific issues in regard to the Durham reference in examining the Applicant reply when filed.

Dear Examiner Morrison:

Thank you for agreeing to conduct a telephone interview of this patent application on Wednesday at 1 pm ET. Robert Kent, who is a summer associate at our firm, will be joining me.

During the interview, we would like to focus on the pending independent claims, and particularly the anticipation rejections of these claims over the Durham patent. (The obviousness-type double patenting rejection will be rendered moot by the submission of a Terminal Disclaimer.)

I am attaching a Word document with the complete claim set, and with highlighting of some of the independent claim limitations we believe are not disclosed by Durham. In some cases, we have also inserted comments (in italicized text) explaining some of the differences.

If you need additional information prior to the interview, please let us know. We look forward to speaking with you.

Sincerely,

Ron Schoenbaum
Knobbe Martens Olson & Bear LLP
2040 Main Street, 14th Floor
Irvine, CA 92614-3641
949-721-2950
Email: Ron@kmob.com

1. A system for servicing web page requests, the system comprising
a server that responds to user requests for web pages, said server comprising a
memory;

schema data stored in the memory of the server, said **schema data specifying past and present schemas used to encode data structures into cookies** stored on user computers; *[comment: Durham does not disclose incorporating data structures into cookies. Durham discloses incorporating individual elements of preference data in a cookie in a compact, hard-coded format. These individual data elements and are not "data structures," and Durham is indifferent to the data structures (if any) from which they are obtained. Also, Durham's use of version numbers that correspond to particular cookie formats does not suggest the use of "schema data specifying past and present schemas"]*

a conversion component executed by the server, said conversion component configured to **use the schema data to identify and decode the data structures encoded within cookies received from user computers to generate temporary data structures within the memory of the server**; *[see comment above]* and

application code executed by the server, said application code configured to use the temporary data structures to customize web pages requested by the user computers.

2. The system of Claim 1, wherein the conversion component is not specific to a particular type of data structure.

3. The system of Claim 1, wherein the conversion component supports a plurality of different types of data structures.

4. The system of Claim 1, wherein the schema data specifies the content and format of each of a plurality of data structures that are encoded within the cookies.

5. The system of Claim 1, wherein the schema data includes, for a given data structure that is encoded within cookies, an identification of primitive fields of the given data structure and datatypes of said primitive fields.

6. The system of Claim 5, wherein the schema data further includes address offsets of the primitive fields.

7. The system of Claim 1, wherein the schema data includes, for a given data structure that is encoded within cookies, an indication of a range of schema versions for which

the data structure is valid, wherein the conversion component uses said range to determine whether a particular data structure encoded within a cookie is valid.

8. The system of Claim 1, wherein the schema data includes information about at least one data structure that is no longer in use.

9. The system of Claim 1, wherein the conversion component uses the schema data to determine which of a set of the data structures encoded within a received cookie are to be decoded for use.

10. The system of Claim 1, wherein the conversion component uses the schema data to determine whether a particular data structure that is encoded within a received cookie is to be decoded for use.

11. The system of Claim 1, wherein the conversion component is an executable function that is called by the application code.

12. The system of Claim 1, wherein the schema data is cached with random access memory of the server.

13. The system of Claim 1, wherein the schema data is stored within a file in the memory of the server.

14. The system of Claim 1, wherein the schema data is stored within a table in the memory of the server.

15. The system of Claim 1, wherein the conversion component uses a checksum included within a received cookie to evaluate whether the cookie has been modified.

16. The system of Claim 1, wherein the conversion component applies a decryption algorithm to encrypted information contained in the cookies received from the user computers.

17. The system of Claim 1, further comprising an encoding component that encodes data structures into cookies according to a current schema specified by the schema data.

18. A method of extracting information from cookies, the method comprising

(a) receiving, at a server, **cookie data that has a data structure encoded therein**, said cookie data received from a user computer;

(b) **determining whether the data structure encoded within the cookie data is valid**; and *[comment: although Durham determines whether the cookie itself is valid, it does not determine whether a data structure encoded in the cookie is valid. Note that the "validity" of the data structure, in Applicant's embodiment, reflects whether the data*

structure has been deactivated or “retired,” and not whether the associated data is corrupt.]

(c) if and only if the data structure encoded within the cookie data is determined to be valid in step (b), **decoding the encoded data structure to reproduce the data structure within a memory of the server.**

19. The method of Claim 18, wherein the validity of the data structure as determined in step (b) reflects whether the data structure is currently used by any applications running on the server.

20. The method of Claim 18, wherein step (b) comprises identifying a version of a schema used to encode the data structure within the cookie data.

21. The method of Claim 18, wherein step (b) comprises using schema data stored within the memory of the server to determine whether the data structure is valid.

22. The method of Claim 18, wherein a plurality of data structures are encoded within the cookie data, and the method comprises determining which of the plurality of data structures should be fully decoded.

23. The method of Claim 18, further comprising using the data structure as reproduced in step (c) to customize a web page requested by the user computer.

24. The method of Claim 18, wherein step (c) is performed by executable code that is not specific to a particular type of data structure.

25. The method of Claim 18, wherein the data structure includes primitives of at least one of the following data types: 16-bit integers, 32-bit integers.

26. A server system that performs the method of Claim 18.

27. A computer program that embodies the method of Claim 18 stored within a computer readable medium.

28. A method of generating cookie data for storage on a computer of a user, the method comprising:

identifying a set of data structures to be encoded within the cookie data;

encoding the set of data structures within the cookie data according to schema data stored within a computer memory, said schema data specifying how the set of data structures is to be encoded within the cookie data; and

incorporating into the cookie data at least one of the following to facilitate extraction of the set of data structures from the cookie data: (a) a schema identifier, (b) the schema data.

29. The method of Claim 28, wherein the method comprises incorporating the schema data into the cookie data.

30. The method of Claim 28, wherein the method comprises incorporating a schema identifier into the cookie data, said schema identifier identifying one of a plurality of schemas used over a period of time to encode data structures within cookie data.

31. The method of Claim 28, wherein the set of data structures is encoded within the cookie data using executable code that is not specific to a particular type of data structure.

32. The method of Claim 28, wherein the set of data structures includes non-character primitives.

33. The method of Claim 28, wherein the set of data structures includes primitives of at least one of the following data types: 16-bit integers, 32-bit integers.

34. The method of Claim 28, further comprising incorporating a checksum into the cookie data to permit subsequent detection of whether the cookie data has been modified.

35. The method of Claim 28, wherein the method is performed in an off-line mode to reduce a delay experienced by a user.

36. A computer-readable medium comprising cookie data generated according to the method of Claim 28.

37. A computer system configured to perform the method of Claim 28.

38. A computer-readable medium that stores a computer program embodying the method of Claim 28.

39. A method for using browser cookies to store structured data, the method comprising:

storing schema data on at least one server computer of a web site system, said **schema data specifying schemas used by executable software to (a) encode data structures within cookies for storage on user computers, and (b) decode said cookies to extract the data structures** when the cookies are returned by the user computers; and

modifying the schema data over time to add data structures to, and remove data structures from, a set of data structures encoded within cookies by the executable software.

40. The method of Claim 39, wherein the schema data is modified according to a set of rules to enable the executable software to decode cookies encoded using both past and present schemas.

41. The method of Claim 40, wherein the rules are enforced by a management layer.

42. The method of Claim 39, wherein the executable software is not specific to a particular type of data structure.